

1842-032 SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
CHANCERY PAPERS

SEBRELL et al VS. WILLIAMS

2/1842

Schulze Bill
v. Ansam
Williams } a Decree

1842 Feby. Decree as filed. 8p

olk 1.28

(Ordy)

To the worshipful County Court of Sarisbampton
in Chancery sitting

Your complainants Nicholas M. Debell and
Isratley Williams humbly shew, that some time
since Anthony A. Williams of the County of Saris-
bampton, in consideration of your complainants
having become bound for certain of his debts as his
secuties, and being desirous of securing your com-
plainants, executed a deed of trust conveying cer-
tain property therein specified, to Samuel J
Douglas as trustee for their benefit, and dated
on the 31st of July 1841. Your complainants would
further shew, that Samuel J Douglas the
trustee therein appointed, having removed from
the Commonwealth, is incapable of executing the
trusts reposed in him in consequence of his absence,
and the property conveyed in the deed of trust
being liable to much waste and loss, some action
on the part of the said trustee in this behalf
is absolutely necessary. Your complainants
therefore in consideration of the premises, pray
that the powers of the said Samuel J Douglas
as trustee in the said deed of trust be revoked
and that James Debell of the County of
Sarisbampton, be substituted in the place of
the said Douglas, as trustee in the said deed
of trust, and to this effect they pray the com-
monwealths writ of Subp. directed Comman-
dinge. and they will ever pray

Prudly Goodwyn

Answer of Anthony A. Williams -
This respondent seeing and reserving to himself
all manner of just exception to the said com-
plainants bill of complaint for answer thereto
says that he admits the facts in the complain-
ants bill stated and has no objection to the
appointment of James Debell as trustee in
the said deed of trust in the place of Samuel
J Douglas, and having answered prap hence to be

dismissed the Court with his reasonable costs in
this behalf expended

Debell & Williams
against
Williams &

Plffs
in Chancery
Dett.

Decree

This day this cause was docketed by leave of Court
and consent of parties, and came on to be heard
on the bill and answer with like consent, and
was argued by counsel. On consideration where-
of the Court doth order, adjudge and decree
that the powers of Samuel & Douglas as trustee
in the said deed of trust mentioned in the Com-
plainant's bill, be and are hereby revoked, and
that James Debell be appointed trustee in
the said deed of trust, in the place of the said
Douglas, and that he have power to exercise all
the rights and powers appertaining thereto